

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,485	09/28/2001	Claudio Ferranda	33794/239540	6459		
826	7590 06/25/2004		EXAMINER			
ALSTON &	ALSTON & BIRD LLP			PASCUA, JES F		
	AMERICA PLAZA TRYON STREET, SUITE 4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	TE, NC 28280-4000	3727	7			
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/966,4	185	FERRANDA	FERRANDA, CLAUDIO			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Jes F. Pa		3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considere THS from the mailing date of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>30 January 20</u> 0	0 4 .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyan ired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85 s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or tr No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Applicatio 	on (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,485

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 4-5, it is not understood what is structure is defined by the recitation "the valve comprises an elongate and through-bored of flexible material". Clarification of the language is requested.

In claim 1, lines 5-6, it is unclear how the "body" of the package can be fixed to the "through-bore" when the through-bore is integrally formed from the body of the package.

In claim 1, line 6, "the second end portion of the body" lacks antecedence.

In claim 2, "the flexible body" lacks antecedence.

In claim 3, "the internal portion of the bore" lacks antecedence.

In claim 3, it is unclear how the "body" of the package can be fixed to the bore when the bore is integrally formed from the body of the package.

In claim 3, it is unclear if the "first and second opposed fixation regions" that fix the body to the bore are the same elements as the "first and second end portions" that fix the body to the bore in claim 1.

In claim 4, "the internal portion of the bore" lacks antecedence.

Art Unit: 3727

In claim 5, ""the first region inside the cavity" lacks antecedence.

In claim 5, "the cavity" lacks antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brönstrup et al. and Finke.

Brönstrup et al. discloses the claimed device, especially the bottom of the package having a valve 7 "which is constructed in a known manner... or may have any other suitable construction. See column 2, lines 55-60. However, Brönstrup et al. does not show the valve being an elongate body foldable into a cavity. Finke discloses that it is known in the art to provide a valve that is an elongate body foldable into the cavity formed by an analogous block-bottom bag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Brönstrup et al. as the elongate body of Finke, in order to permit filling of the bag and subsequent closure through the folding of the valve.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,485

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

Page 4

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 703-308-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

JFP